

## TD6 : Invariant Measures

### Exercise 1 — Birth and death processes II.

We consider the pure jump Markov process with values in  $\mathbb{N}$  and intensity matrix  $Q$  given by:

$$q_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \beta_i & \text{si } j = i + 1 \\ \delta_i & \text{si } j = i - 1 \\ -\beta_i - \delta_i & \text{si } j = i \neq 0 \\ -\beta_i & \text{si } j = i = 0 \\ 0 & \text{sinon,} \end{cases}$$

where the  $\beta_i$  et  $\delta_i$  are assumed to be positive (so  $> 0$ ). We let  $r_n = \frac{1}{\beta_n} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\delta_{k+1} \dots \delta_n}{\beta_k \dots \beta_n}$ , and we consider  $x$  nonnegative bounded solution to the equation  $Qx = x$ .

- (1) Show  $x = 0$  iff  $x_0 = 0$ , and in the case of a nonzero solution is nonzero, show the sequence  $(x_n)$  is increasing.

The assumption  $Qx = x$  means that coordinates of  $x$  satisfy,

$$\begin{cases} -\beta_0 x_0 + \beta_0 x_1 = x_0 \\ \delta_i x_{i-1} - (\beta_i + \delta_i) x_i + \beta_i x_{i+1} = x_i \text{ for } i \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

This can be recasted into,

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta_0}\right) x_0 \\ x_{i+1} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta_i}\right) x_i + \frac{\delta_i}{\beta_i} (x_i - x_{i-1}) \text{ for } i \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

If  $x_0 = 0$ , then  $x_1 = 0$  by the first equation. It follows by induction on  $i$  using the second equation that  $x_i = 0$  for every  $i \geq 0$ . If  $x_0 \neq 0$ , then  $x_1 > x_0 > 0$  and we can show by induction on  $i$  that  $0 < x_i < x_{i+1}$ .

- (2) For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , Show that we have  $x_i + r_i x_0 \leq x_{i+1} \leq (1 + r_i) x_i$ . We will reuse the recurrence equation of (1) and show the result by induction on  $i$ . We start by

showing a recurrence relation for  $(r_i)_{i \geq 1}$ . Let  $i \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta_i}{\beta_i} r_{i-1} &= \frac{\delta_i}{\beta_i} \left( \frac{1}{\beta_{i-1}} + \sum_{k=0}^{i-2} \frac{\delta_{k+1} \dots \delta_{i-1}}{\beta_k \dots \beta_{i-1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\delta_i}{\beta_i \beta_{i-1}} + \sum_{k=0}^{i-2} \frac{\delta_{k+1} \dots \delta_i}{\beta_k \dots \beta_i} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \frac{\delta_{k+1} \dots \delta_i}{\beta_k \dots \beta_i} \\ &= r_i - \frac{1}{\beta_i}. \end{aligned}$$

We have shown,

$$r_i = \frac{1 + \delta_i r_{i-1}}{\beta_i}.$$

We are now ready for the induction. At  $i = 0$ , we have  $r_0 = 1/\beta_0$  so  $x_0 + \beta_0 x_0 \leq x_1 \leq (1 + \frac{1}{\beta_0})x_0$  holds and is in fact an equality. Let  $i \geq 1$  and assume that,  $x_{i-1} + r_{i-1}x_0 \leq x_i \leq (1 + r_{i-1})x_i$ , that is

$$r_{i-1}x_0 \leq x_i - x_{i-1} \leq r_{i-1}x_i.$$

In addition the recurrence relation  $x_{i+1} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta_i}\right) x_i + \frac{\delta_i}{\beta_i}(x_i - x_{i-1})$  can be rewritten as

$$x_{i+1} - x_i = \frac{1}{\beta_i} x_i + \frac{\delta_i}{\beta_i} (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

Combining the result from the last two displays, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\beta_i} x_i + \frac{\delta_i}{\beta_i} r_{i-1} x_0 \leq x_{i+1} - x_i \leq \frac{1}{\beta_i} x_i + \frac{\delta_i}{\beta_i} r_{i-1} x_i$$

Using our recurrence relation on  $r_i$ , we see that the RHS of the above display is  $= r_i x_i$ , in addition since  $x_i \geq x_0$ , the LHS is lower bounded by  $\frac{1 + \delta_i r_{i-1}}{\beta_i} x_0 = r_i x_0$ . We have proven,

$$x_i + r_i x_0 \leq x_{i+1} \leq (1 + r_i) x_i.$$

- (3) We say that the process doesn't explode when for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , the probability of explosion starting from  $i$  is 0. Show that the process doesn't explode if and only  $\sum r_n = +\infty$ . By (2), given  $x$  any nonnegative solution of  $Qx = x$ , we have or every  $i \geq 0$ ,

$$x_0 \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} r_k \leq x_i \leq x_0 \prod_{k=0}^{i-1} (1 + r_k).$$

We will use the fact that  $\prod_{1 \leq k \leq i} (1 + r_k)$  converges to a finite limit as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  if and only if  $\sum r_k$  converges. By a Theorem from the course, the process explodes

with probability 0 from any starting point if and only if  $Qx = x$  admits a unique nonnegative bounded solution. Assume that  $\sum r_i$  diverges, let  $x$  be a nonzero, nonnegative solution of  $Qx = x$ , by (1) we must have  $x_0 \neq 0$ . It follows from the previous display that  $x$  is unbounded. therefore the only bounded nonnegative solution of  $Qx = x$  is  $x = 0$  and the process doesn't explode. Conversely, assume that  $\sum r_i$  converges, let  $x$  be the vector defined by  $x_0 = 1, x_1 = 1 + \frac{1}{\beta_0}$  and  $x_{i+1} = (1 + \frac{1}{\beta_i})x_i + \frac{\delta_i}{\beta_i}(x_i - x_{i-1})$ . By construction,  $x$  is a nonzero solution of  $Qx = x$  and  $x_0 \neq 0$ , so by (1)  $x_i > x_0 > 0$ , so  $x$  is nonnegative. Finally,  $\prod(1 + r_k)$  converges and the partial product upper bound  $x_i$ , so  $x$  is bounded. We have built  $x \neq 0$  a nonnegative bounded solution of  $Qx = x$ , so by the criterion of the course the process explodes.

Let  $\lambda_i > 0$  and  $p \in (0, 1)$  set  $q = 1 - p$  and consider  $X$  the continuous time Markov chain with intensity matrix  $Q$  with parameters  $\beta_i = p\lambda_i$  and  $\delta_i = q\lambda_i$ .

- (4) Show that the equation  $\mu Q = 0$  has a unique solution up to multiplicative constant, assuming that  $\mu_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda_0}$ , give an explicit expression of  $\mu_i$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Assume that  $\mu Q = 0$ , then  $\mu$  satisfies,

$$\begin{cases} -\lambda_0 p \mu_0 + \lambda_1 q \mu_1 = 0 \\ \lambda_{i-1} p \mu_{i-1} - \lambda_i \mu_i + \lambda_{i+1} q \mu_{i+1} = 0 \text{ for } i \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

So the sequence  $(\mu_i)_i$  satisfies a second order recurrence relation. It is fully determined by  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{p}{q} \lambda_0 \mu_0$ . So  $\mu$  is unique up to multiplicative constant. Assuming that  $\mu_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda_0}$ , we can check that

$$\mu_i = \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \left( \frac{p}{q} \right)^i.$$

- (5) Assume that there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lambda_i = \lambda$  and that  $p < 1/2$ . Show that  $X$  doesn't explode, that  $X$  admits a unique invariant probability measure and describe the set of invariant measures of  $X$ .

Under the above assumption we can check that  $X$  doesn't explode two ways. First the diagonal coefficients of  $Q$  are lower bounded. Or, using the criterion we just proved by checking that the sequence  $(r_n)_n$  is not summable. Either way  $X$  doesn't blow up. with the assumption that  $p < 1/2$ , we have  $\frac{p}{q} < 1$ , so any solution of  $\mu Q = 0$  has finite mass. Therefore invariant measures are exactly the solutions of  $\mu Q = 0$ . Among the solution of  $\mu Q = 0$  the only probability measure is,

$$\mu_i = \left( 1 - \frac{p}{q} \right) \left( \frac{p}{q} \right)^i.$$

Therefore, the measure defined above is the unique invariant probability measure of  $X$ . This a "geometric law" with parameters  $p/q$  (not quite because it models the number of failures until the first success).

- (6) Assume that there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lambda_i = \lambda$  and that  $p \geq 1/2$ . Show that  $X$  doesn't explode and that  $X$  admits no invariant probability measure.

For the same reasons  $X$  doesn't explode. Let  $\mu$  be an invariant measure of  $X$ . The measure  $\mu$  is supported on  $\mathbb{N}$ , so it must be  $\sigma$ -finite. Therefore, since for every  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\mu P(t) = \mu$ , we must have  $\mu Q = 0$  and thus up to a multiplicative constant we have,

$$\mu_i = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{p}{q} \right)^i.$$

Hence, since  $p \geq 1/2$ , we have  $p/q \geq 1$  and the  $\mu$  has infinite mass. Therefore  $\mu$  is not a probability measure.

**Exercise 2** — *M/M/1 queue invariant measure.*

Consider a shop where customers are served one at a time. Customers arrive at independent times and each arrival time follows an exponential law of parameter  $\lambda > 0$ . Customers are served one after the other, service times are independent and each service time follows an exponential law of parameter  $\mu > 0$ . We let  $X_t$  denote the number of customers in queue at time  $t \geq 0$  (including the customer currently being served). We assume that the queue is empty at time 0 ( $X_0 = 0$ ).

- (1) Show that  $X$  is a continuous time Markov chain, give its intensity matrix and show that  $X$  doesn't blow up.

The process  $X$  jump at the minimum time between the arrival of the next client and the servicing of the first client in the queue. Therefore, the jump times of  $X$  follow the law of the minimum of two exponential random variables of parameter  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , so is distributed as an exponential variable of parameter  $\lambda + \mu$ . The associated jump process can only go to  $i + 1$  and  $i - 1$  when in state  $i \geq 1$ , the probability of jumping from  $i$  to  $i + 1$  is  $\mathbb{P}(\text{Exp}(\mu) \geq \text{Exp}(\lambda)) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu}$ . Therefore,  $X$  is a continuous time Markov chain with intensity matrix

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & \lambda & 0 & & & \\ \mu & -\lambda - \mu & \lambda & 0 & & \\ 0 & \mu & -\lambda - \mu & \lambda & 0 & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

The diagonal coefficients of  $Q$  are lower bounded, so  $X$  doesn't explode.

- (2) Show that the process  $X$  admits a reversible measure.

If  $\mu$  is a reversible measure then  $\mu_i Q_{ij} = \mu_j Q_{ji}$ . Taking  $j = i + 1$ , we obtain  $\mu_{i+1} \mu = \mu_i \lambda$ . And we find  $\mu_i = \mu_0 \rho^i$ . Conversely we can check that this is an invariant measure.

- (3) Using Exercise 1, give a necessary and sufficient condition for  $X$  to admit an invariant probability measure  $\pi$ . Express  $\pi$  in terms of  $\rho = \lambda/\mu$ . This corresponds to the set up of exercise 1, with  $q(i) = \lambda + \mu$  and  $p = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu}$ . From exercise 1 we know that the process admits an invariant probability distribution if and only if  $p < 1/2$ ,

this corresponds to  $\rho < 1$ . We have,

$$\pi_i = (1 - \rho)\rho^i.$$

- (4) Assume that the condition of question (2) is fulfilled, on average how much time do we have to wait until the first time we see 0 customers in the queue? In the large  $t$  limit, what is the probability that there are no customers left in the queue?

We know that as  $t \rightarrow \infty$   $X_t$  converges in law to the  $\pi$  and  $\pi_i = \frac{1}{q(i)\mathbb{E}_i[T^i]}$ . We have  $\mathbb{E}_0[T^0] = \frac{1}{q(0)\pi_0} = \frac{\mu(\lambda+\mu)}{\mu-\lambda}$ .  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(X_t = 0) = \pi_0 = 1 - \rho$ . And the mean of  $X_t$  converges to the mean of  $\pi$  which is  $\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}$ .

- (5) (★) Find again an invariant measure of  $X$  by using the following observation (that you will show). Let  $\pi$  be an invariant measure of  $X$ , and let  $\Pi(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \pi(n)s^n$  be its generating function of, then for every  $s \in (-1, 1)$ ,

$$\lambda s^2 \Pi(s) - (\lambda + \mu)s(\Pi(s) - \pi_0) + \mu(\Pi(s) - \pi_0) - \lambda \pi_0 s = 0.$$

**Exercise 3** — *More hitting times.*

Let  $T^A$  be the hitting time of  $A$  and  $h_A(i) = \mathbb{P}_i(T^A < +\infty)$ ,

- (1) Show that the vector  $(h_A(i))_{i \in I}$  is the minimal non-negative solution to

$$\begin{cases} h_A(i) = 1 & \text{if } i \in A \\ \sum_{j \in I} q_{i,j} h_A(j) = 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (2) Provide a similar interpretation to the minimal nonnegative solution of the system

$$\begin{cases} k(i) = 0, & \text{if } i \in A, \\ \sum_{j \in I} q_{i,j} k(j) = -h_A(i) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (3) Applications: Let  $Q$  be the intensity matrix on  $I = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  given by:

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 1/4 & -1/2 & 0 & 1/4 \\ 1/6 & 0 & -1/3 & 1/6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

For any given initial state, compute the probability of hitting state 3, as well as the expectation of the hitting time of state 4.