TD3 : Transition semigroups and more on Poisson processes

Exercice 1 — *Transition semigroups.*

In this exercise, questions are independent.

(1) Let $d \geq 1$, let $(\mu_t)_{t\geq}$ be a family of probability measures on $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Assume that there exists a measurable function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $t \geq 0$, the characteristic function of μ_t is given by $\xi \mapsto e^{t\phi(\xi)}$. Let $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be independent random variables such that for every $t \geq 0$, X_t has law μ_t . For every $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $P_t(x, \cdot)$ be the law of $x + X_t$. Show that $(P_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a Markov semigroup on $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

Let $t, s \ge 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we are going to show that the characteristic functions of $P_{t+s}(x, \cdot)$ and $\int_E P_s(x, dy) P_t(y, dx')$ are equal. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\int_{E} \exp(ix' \cdot \xi) P_{t+s}(x, dx') = \mathbb{E} \left[\exp(i\xi \cdot (x + X_{t+s})) \right]$$

$$= \exp(\xi \cdot x + (t+s)\phi(\xi))$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[\exp(i\xi \cdot (x + X_s + X_t)) \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E} \left[\exp(i\xi \cdot (x + X_s + X_t)) | X_s \right] \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{E} \exp(i\xi \cdot x') P_t(x + X_s, dx') \right]$$

$$= \int_{E} \int_{E} \exp(i\xi \cdot x') P_t(y, dx') P_s(x, dy)$$

$$= \int_{x' \in E} \exp(i\xi \cdot x') \int_{y \in E} P_t(y, dx') P_s(x, dy).$$

(2) Using the previous question, show that the Poisson semigroup, the Gaussian semigroup, and the Cauchy semigroup from lecture 2 are indeed Markov semigroups. Briefly explain why it's not possible to use question (1) to show that the lognormal semigroup is indeed a Markov semigroup.

One can compute the characteristic function of each of those semigroups and observe that they are of the form $e^{t\phi(\xi)}$ as in the previous question. With respectively $\phi(\xi) = \lambda(e^{i\xi} - 1), \ \phi(\xi) = -\xi^2/2$ and $\phi(\xi) = -|\xi|$. For the log normal semigroup there is no explicit expression for the characteristic function and we cannot't proceed as in the previous question.

(3) Let (E, \mathcal{E}) be a measurable space, let $(P_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a Markov semigroup on (E, \mathcal{E}) and let $h: E \to \mathbb{R}^*_+$ be a measurable function. For every $t \geq 0$ and every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define a measure $\hat{P}_t(x, \cdot)$ on E via,

$$\frac{dP_t(x,\cdot)}{dP_t(x,\cdot)} = \frac{1}{h(x)}h(\cdot).$$

Assume that for every $t \ge 0$ and every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have,

$$h(x) = \int_E h(x') P_t(x, dx').$$

Show that $(\hat{P}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a Markov semigroup on (E, \mathcal{E}) .

Let $t \ge 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\int_{E} d\hat{P}_{t}(x, dx') = \frac{1}{h(x)} \int_{E} h(x') dP_{t}(x, dx') = 1.$$

In addition for every $A \in \mathcal{E}$, $\hat{P}_t(x, A) = \int_A h(x') dP_t(x, dx') \ge 0$, thus $\hat{P}_t(x, \cdot)$ is a probability measure on E and $x \mapsto \hat{P}_t(x, A)$ is measurable. So $(P_t)_{t\ge 0}$ is a transition kernel on E. Furthermore, for every $t, s \ge 0$ and $x \in E$, we have,

$$\hat{P}_{t+s}(x, dx') = \frac{h(x')}{h(x)} P_{s+t}(x, dx') = \frac{h(x')}{h(x)} \int_{E} P_{s}(x, dy) P_{t}(y, dx') = \int_{E} \frac{h(y)}{h(x)} P_{s}(x, dy) \frac{h(x')}{h(y)} P_{t}(y, dx') = \int_{E} \hat{P}_{s}(x, dy) \hat{P}_{t}(y, dx').$$

In conclusion (P_t) is a Markov semigroup on (E, \mathcal{E}) .

Exercice 2 — *Thinning property.*

Let $(N_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a Poisson process of intensity $\lambda > 0$ and $(X_k)_{k\geq 0}$ be iid Bernoulli random variables with parameter $p \in [0, 1]$. Let

$$N_t^A = \sum_{k=1}^{N_t} X_k$$
 and $N_t^B = \sum_{k=1}^{N_t} (1 - X_k),$

(1) Let X a Poisson random variable of parameter $\alpha > 0$ and $(B_n)_n$ be iid Bernoulli random variables of parameter p independent from X, show that $Y = \sum_{n=1}^{X} B_n$ is a Poisson random variable of parameter αp .

Let $N \ge 0$, conditionally on X = N, Y is binomial of parameter (N, p). So for every $n \in \{0, \ldots, N\}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y=n, X=N) = e^{-\alpha} \frac{\alpha^N}{N!} \binom{N}{n} p^n (1-p)^{N-n}.$$

Now fix $n \ge 0$, summing the above display over $N \ge 0$, obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(Y=n) = e^{-\alpha p} \frac{(\alpha p)^n}{n!}.$$

(2) Show that N^A and N^B are independent Poisson processes with intensity λp and $\lambda(1-p)$.

We start by proving that N^A has right continuous trajectories, stationary and independent increments, and that N_t^A is a Poisson distributed random variable with parameter λpt . It is clear that the trajectories of N^A are right continuous and that its increments are stationary and independent (because the increments of Nare). Finally according to question 1, N_t^A is N_t^A is a Poisson distributed random variable with parameter λpt . This ensures that N^A is Poisson process with intensity λpt , similarly we can show that N^B is Poisson process with intensity $\lambda(1-p)t$. It remains to prove that N^A and N^B are independent. To do so we can again use the conditionning trick

$$\mathbb{P}(N_t^A = k, N_t^B = l) = \mathbb{P}(N_t^A = k, N_t^B = l|N_t = k+l) \mathbb{P}(N_t = k+l)$$
$$= \binom{k+l}{k} p^k (1-p)^l e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{k+l} / (k+l)!$$
$$= \frac{e^{-\lambda p}(p\lambda)^k}{k!} \frac{e^{-(1-p)\lambda} ((1-p)\lambda)^l}{l!}$$
$$= \mathbb{P}(N_t^A = k) \mathbb{P}(N_t^B = l).$$

(3) A bus station observes arrivals of buses, modeled as a Poisson process of intensity λ . Each arriving bus is either a city bus with probability p, or an intercity bus with probability 1-p independently of other buses. What is the law of the time between each city bus? each intercity bus? Given $t \geq 0$ what is the expected number of city buses observed at time t given that we have observed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ buses in total? The arrivals of city buses correspond to the process $(N_t^A)_{t\geq 0}$, which is a Poisson process with intensity λp . The interarrival times are independent and exponentially distributed with parameter λp . Similarly, the arrivals of intercity buses correspond to the process $(N_t^B)_{t\geq 0}$, thus the interarrival times are independent and exponentially distributed with parameter $\lambda (1-p)$.

According to question 1, $N_t = n$, the number of city buses N_t^A follows a Binomial(n, p) distribution. Thus, the expected number of city buses observed by time t, given that n buses have arrived in total is np.

Exercice 3 — $M/GI/\infty$ queue.

Let $X = (X_t)_{t \ge 0}$ be a Poisson process of intensity $\lambda > 0$, we denote $(J_n)_n$ the jump times of X. Let $(Z_n)_n$ be iid random variables, we denote G the cdf of Z_1 and $1/\mu$ the mean of Z_1 . Consider the following model, you operate a restaurant in which the n^{th} customer arrives at time J_n and leaves at time $J_n + Z_n$. You want to estimate the number N_t of customers in the shop at time t. Note that for every $t \ge 0$, we have

$$N_t = \sum_n \mathbf{1} \{ J_n \le t \le J_n + Z_n \}.$$

(1) Let $t \ge 0$, $n \ge 0$ and let U denote a uniform random variable in [0, t], define $p = \mathbb{P}(Z_1 > U)$. Show that conditionally on $X_t = n$, the random variable N_t is Binomial random variable of parameter (n, p).

Let U_1, \ldots, U_n be independent uniform random variables in [0, t] and let σ be the permutation of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ defined almost surely by $U_{\sigma(1)} < \cdots < U_{\sigma(n)}$. Conditionally on $X_t = n$, (J_1, \ldots, J_n) has the law of $(U_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, U_{\sigma(n)})$. Thus, still conditionally on $X_t = n$, we have

$$N_{t} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{1} \{ t \le J_{k} + Z_{k} \}$$

$$\stackrel{(d)}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{1} \{ t \le U_{\sigma(k)} + Z_{k} \}$$

$$\stackrel{(d)}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{1} \{ t \le U_{k} + Z_{k} \}.$$

So conditionally on $X_t = n$, N_t is a binomial random variable of parameter (n, p)where $p = \mathbb{P}(t \leq Z_1 + U) = \mathbb{P}(t - U \leq Z_1) = \mathbb{P}(U \leq Z_1)$. The last inequality following from the fact that $t - U \stackrel{(d)}{=} U$.

(2) Let t > 0 and $\alpha(t) = \lambda \int_0^t \mathbb{P}(Z_1 > x) dx$, show that N(t) is a Poisson random variable with parameter $\alpha(t)$.

According to the previous given $k \ge 0$ and $n \ge k$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(N_t = k, X_t = n) = e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^n / n! \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

Summing over $n \ge k$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(N_t = k) = e^{-\lambda t p} (\lambda t p)^k / k!.$$

This means that N_t is a Poisson random variable of parameter λtp , finally $p = \mathbb{P}(Z_1 > U) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathbb{P}(Z_1 > s) ds$, thus $\lambda tp = \alpha(t)$. (3) Show that as $t \to \infty$, N_t converges in law toward a Poisson law of parameter

(3) Show that as $t \to \infty$, N_t converges in law toward a Poisson law of parameter $\rho = \lambda/\mu$.

As
$$t \to \infty$$
, we have $\alpha(t) \to \lambda \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(Z_1 > s) ds = \lambda \mathbb{E}S = \lambda/\mu$. It follows that,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(N_t = k) = e^{-\rho} \frac{\rho^k}{k!}$$

In France approximately, 1903896 new cars have been bought each year between 1967 and 2023 (source : CCFA, Comité des Constructeurs Français d'Automobiles).

Assume that the French people buy cars according to a Poisson Process of parameter $\lambda = 1903896$ per year and that there was no car bought before 1967.

(4) Assume that each car owner keeps its car for a duration uniform between 0 and 20 years. What is the expected number of cars in the French fleet in the year 1977 ? what about in the year 1987 ? and Afterward ?

If we keep the notations of the previous section, the expected number of cars in the fleet in the year 1967 + t is $\alpha(t) = \lambda \int_0^t \mathbb{P}(Z_1 > s) ds$. If Z_1 follows a uniform random variable in [0, b] with b = 20, we have for every s < b, $\mathbb{P}(Z_1 > s) ds = 1 - s/b$ and = 0 otherwise. It follows that α is constant after t = b and for $t \leq b$, we have,

$$\alpha(t) = \lambda \int_0^t 1 - \frac{s}{b} ds = \lambda (t - \frac{t^2}{2b}).$$

We obtain,

$$\alpha(10) = \frac{3}{8}\lambda b \simeq 15M$$
$$\alpha(20) = \lambda b/2 \simeq 20M$$

(5) Answer the previous question now assuming that each owner keeps its car for an exponential duration of parameter 1/10. Similar computations yield,

$$\alpha(t) = \lambda \int_0^t \exp(-2s/b) ds = \frac{\lambda b}{2} \left(1 - e^{-2t/b}\right).$$